DOCKET NO.: 260208US6PCT

APR 2 4 2006 B

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RE APPLICATION OF:

Takeshi SUZUKI

SERIAL NO: 10/511,757

GROUP: 2876

FILED:

October 19, 2004

EXAMINER:

FOR:

LOADING DEVICE FOR STORAGE MEDIUM HAVING CONNECTION

TERMINAL

LETTER

Mail Stop DD Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith are an International Preliminary Report on Patentability and a Written Opinion for the Examiner's consideration. The references cited therein have been previously filed on October 19, 2004.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Raymond F. Cardiilo, Jr.

Registration No. 40,440

Customer Number

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 03/06)

PCT/JP2004/002559

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

\mathbf{PCT}

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:

NAKAMURA, Tomoyuki do Miyoshi International Patent Office Toranomon Kotohira Tower 2-8, Toranomon 1-chome Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001 JAPON



Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23 February 2006 (23.02.2006)	PATENT
Applicant's or agent's file reference JSONY-534PCT	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)
Applicant	SONY CORPORATION et al

ı.	Transmi	ttal of the translation to the applicant.
	•	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

PG

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any amexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 10

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference JSONY-534PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 March 2004 (02.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 March 2003 (06.03.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant Information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant SONY CORPORATION			
	-		

1.	 This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a). 				
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	s relating to the following items	::		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis 3(c) and 93bis. I but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 13 February 2006 (13.02.2006)		
	The International But 34, chemin des Co	olombettes	Authorized officer Masashi Honda		
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35		Switzerland	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ITY	•	Alls.		
Applicant's or agent's file reference JSONY - 534 PCT International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43biz.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability Cartain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application PURTHER ACTION If a domand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion this international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority or than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.101(b) that written opinion is a provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA where where associatived. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of a month from the date of mailing of Feathers.	To:			PCT Cation		
Applicant's or agent's file reference JSONY -534 PCT International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559 O2.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant SONY CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. 1 Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Dox No. IV Cartain documents cited Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(aXi) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a domand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the upplicant chooses an Authority or than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bir(b) that written opinion this Internation is, as provided above. considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written event weekly where we worked were the respiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of F witten event weekly weekly weekly weekly weekly the event wee			WF INTERNATI	UTTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
Applicant's or agent's file reference JSONY - 534 PCT International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559 Decrnational elassification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the choese IPEA has notified the Immensional Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a verified to be under the the priority of than this one to be the IPEA and the choese IPEA has notified the Immensional Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the Explication of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fermandomens, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fermandomens, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fermandomens.		·	•	(PC1 Rule 45015.1)		
International application No. International filing date (daymonth year) Priority date (daymonth year) O6.03.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC						
International application No. International filing date (daymonth year) Priority date (daymonth year) O6.03.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC	Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	CTION		
International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559 Description of the following items: Document						
December		International filing data	(davinonth year)	Priority date (daymonth) sar)		
Applicant SONY CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written explications and the of mailing of Features appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Features appropriate.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	Amlicant					
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 7. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fe		٠.		· .		
Box No. Il Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written early together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fe						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written eachly together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forenational contents.	. "	ting to the following items	:			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Foreign and the state of the state of mailing of Foreign and the state of the state o	Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invertion Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Pirther Action Preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE Written really together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo	Box No. II Priority					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority or than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Burenu under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of that this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written really together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo	applicating		as supporting such state	ament		
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written really together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fe						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinion this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written really together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Fo						
written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the case of maining of Po	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the case of maining of Point PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/IS-1/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer	Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer			
·			- J			
Facsimile No. Telephone No.	Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/002559

Ro	x No. I Basis of this opinion
_	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filled or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filled or does not go beyond the application as filled, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/002559

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-7	YES
		Clairns		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-7	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Clairus	1-7	YES
[Claims		NO
i	•			

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 8-272912, A (Clarion Co., Ltd.), 18 October, 1996 (18.10.96), full text, all drawings; and US, 6010344, A

Document 2: JP, 2000-100042, A (Alps Electric Co., Ltd.), 7 April, 2000 (07.04.00), full text, all drawings

Document 3: JP, 9-259495, A (Sony Corp.), 3 October, 1997 (03.10.97), full text, all drawings; and US, 5822149, A

None of documents 1-3 describes or suggests the feature wherein, with a lock lever to lock a holder at an insertion/drawing-out position provided, the lock lever unlocks the holder at the insertion/drawing-out position when the connection terminals of a storage medium are connected with the terminal electrodes; and thus, the subject matters of claims 1-3 do not appear to be novel or involve an inventive step.

filed /